1	WILLIAM L. ANTHONY (State Bar No. 106908) ERIC L. WESENBERG (State Bar No. 139696) MARK R. WEINSTEIN (State Bar No. 193043)	
2	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LL	DP
3	1000 Marsh Road Menlo Park, CA 94025	
4	Telephone: (650) 614-7400 Facsimile: (650) 614-7401	
5	JOHN W. KEKER (State Bar No. 49092)	
6	MICHAEL H. PAGE (State Bar No. 154913) KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP	
7	710 Sansome Street	
8	San Francisco, CA 94111-1704 Telephone: (415) 391-5400	
9	Facsimile: (415) 397-7188	
10	Additional Counsel Listed at Signature Block	
11	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
12	NORTHERN DISTI	RICT OF CALIFORNIA
13	OAKLAN	ID DIVISION
14		
15	INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES	Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MJE)
	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	1
16	CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,  Plaintiff,	PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND
16 17		CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH
	Plaintiff, v.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT
17	Plaintiff,	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN"
17 18	Plaintiff, v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19	Plaintiff, v. MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19 20	Plaintiff, v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff, v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff, v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff,  v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Counterclaimant, v.  INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff, v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Counterclaimant, v.  INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	Plaintiff,  v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Counterclaimant, v.  INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	Plaintiff, v.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Defendant.  MICROSOFT CORPORATION, a Washington corporation,  Counterclaimant, v.  INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation,	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT REVISED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SCOPE OF "MINI-MARKMAN" HEARING SET FORTH IN THE

DOCSSV1:224822.1

PATENT LOCAL RULE 4-3 JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND PREHEARING STATEMENT, Case No. C 01-1640 SBA (MEJ) In accordance with the Court's Order entered February 24, 2003 and Patent Local Rule 4-3, Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant InterTrust Technologies ("InterTrust") and Defendant and Counter-Claimant Microsoft Corporation ("Microsoft") submit the following revised Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement. Pursuant to that Order, the parties have limited their disputes for purposes of the "Mini-Markman" proceeding, to 30 disputed terms and phrases, as identified in alphabetical order in Exhibit B and highlighted in copies of the claims in Exhibit H, hereto.

## Submission of "Intrinsic" Evidence

To avoid unnecessary duplication, the parties will submit, prior to the submission of the final briefs in the "Mini-Markman" proceeding (including briefing addressing indefiniteness), a Joint Declaration presenting the Intrinsic evidence (including patents, file histories and cited references). The parties agree that in briefs submitted in the "Mini-Markman" proceeding, a party may cite to evidence that ultimately will be submitted by the parties in such Joint Declaration and need not append such evidence to a declaration in support of a brief. This agreement does not limit either party from submitting any evidence with a declaration accompanying any brief.

## RULE 4-3(a): Agreed Construction

Attached hereto as Exhibit I is a list of claim constructions upon which the parties agree.

To the extent that agreed constructions refer to disputed terms that are not among the 30 terms in the "Mini-Markman" proceeding, such terms are set forth in quotations.

## RULE 4-3(b): Disputed Claim Construction Presentation

- Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a list of disputed claim terms set forth in claim order, together with the parties' proposed constructions.
- Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is a list of the 30 disputed claim terms in alphabetical order, together with the parties' proposed constructions.
- Attached hereto as Exhibit C is InterTrust's identification of intrinsic and extrinsic
  evidence supporting its proposed construction for each of the 30 disputed terms and
  phrases.

1	• Attached hereto as Exhibit D is Microsoft's identification of intrinsic and extrinsic	
2	evidence supporting its proposed construction for each of the 30 disputed terms and	
3	phrases.	
4	• Attached hereto as Exhibit E is a Microsoft statement of reservations.	
5	• Attached hereto as <b>Exhibit H</b> is the text of the 12 claims at issue, with bolding identifying	
6	the terms and phrases in dispute for the purposes of the "Mini-Markman" proceeding.	
7	RULE 4-3(c): Claim Construction Hearing Length	
8	The claim construction schedule is set forth in the Court's Order entered February	
9	24, 2003.	
10	RULE 4-3(d): Witness Testimony	
11	The parties have agreed to present witness testimony through declarations filed in	
12	support of the briefs. There also shall be tutorial presentations, per the Court's Order of February	
13	24, 2003.	
14	• Attached hereto as Exhibit F is a summary of expert testimony to be presented by	
15	InterTrust.	
16	• Attached hereto as <b>Exhibit G</b> is a summary of expert testimony to be presented by	
17	Microsoft.	
18	RULE 4-3(e): Pre-Hearing Conference Issues	
19	The parties addressed pre-hearing matters at the Case Management Conference	
20	hearing on February 13, 2003. No pre-hearing conference is currently scheduled or requested.	
21	Dated: March 14, 2003 INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION	
22	MARK SCADINA - #173103 JEFF MCDOW - #184727	
23	4800 Patrick Henry Drive Santa Clara, CA 95054	
24	Telephone: (408) 855-0100 Facsimile: (408) 855-0144	
25	1 acommic. (100) 000 0111	
26	By: FOR JEFF Mc DOW	
27	Soly 19102 S	
28		

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	·
8	Dated: March 14, 2003
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	•
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP MICHAEL H. PAGE

DERWIN & SIEGEL DOUGLAS K. DERWIN - #111407 3280 Alpine Road Portola Valley, CA 94028 Telephone: (650) 529-8700

Facsimile: (650) 529-8799

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant INTERTRUST TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION WILLIAM L. ANTHONY HEIDI L. KEEFE MARK R. WEINSTEIN ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP

By: Sam O'Rourke

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP One World Trade Center 121 S.W. Salmon, Suite 1600 Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: (503) 226-7391 Facsimile: (503) 228-9446

Attorneys for Microsoft Corporation

27

28